Referring Patients for PET/CT Procedures at the Birmingham PET Centre

Introduction

The Ionising Radiation (Medical Exposure) Regulations [IR(ME)R] 2017 make it necessary for all investigations using ionising radiation to be justified on an individual patient basis. To meet this requirement, the Birmingham PET Centre has produced the below table of referral criteria which, if met, would justify a PET/CT procedure under most circumstances. These are taken from professional body guidelines including:

Royal College of Radiologists – Evidence-based indications for the use of PET-CT in the United Kingdom 2022 – <u>www.rcr.ac.uk</u> British Nuclear Medicine Society – <u>www.bnms.org.uk</u> European Association of Nuclear Medicine – <u>www.eanm.org</u> Society of Nuclear Medicine – <u>www.snm.org</u>

Referring Patients

Referrals are accepted from any hospital doctor who is, or is acting on behalf of, a Consultant. The name of the referrer and Consultant must be clearly stated on the request, even if these are the same person. Referrals will be accepted as per Points 4.1-4.6 in Trust IRMER Procedure 2 and those identified within Appendix 1 Table 1. Referrals from NMRs will not be accepted. Referrals received from a Junior Doctor must have been discussed with a Consultant beforehand and this must be indicated on the referral.

For all referrals, please ensure that the patient is informed that they will be sent for a PET/CT scan before sending in the referral request. For many PET/CT scans, a radioactive dose will be ordered in advance for each patient. Where patients cancel at short notice, we are unable to use the radioactive dose for another patient. All efforts will be made to process requests as soon as possible upon receipt.

Clinical Information

Under IRMER, it is essential that requests for PET/CT procedures contain sufficient clinical detail to allow the justification and authorisation of the procedure by PET Centre staff. The regulations clearly state the responsibility of the referrer:

"The referrer must supply the PET/CT practitioner with sufficient medical data (such as previous diagnostic information or medical records) relevant to the exposure requested by the referrer to enable the practitioner to decide whether there is a sufficient net benefit. Please note that the referrer remains responsible for the referral even if the task is delegated to another hospital doctor acting on their behalf. The practitioner for a PET/CT procedure will always be an IRMER Practitioner License Holder, where the Practitioner's license is issued by ARSAC.

Patient Information Request

The following information about the patient is required as a minimum:

- Patient Surname
- Patient Forename
- Date of Birth
- Address
- Hospital Number/ID
- Examination Requested
- Sufficient clinical information relevant to justify the medical exposure requested
- Indication of pregnancy and breast feeding as appropriate
- In the case of pregnancy, the Referrer should confirm that a risk benefit discussion with the patient has taken place
- Mobility Status
- Co-morbidities (where relevant)
- Medication
- Carer or comforter requirements or other relevant radiation protection information
- Indication of known potential medical complications associated with examination requested e.g. allergy, renal function (for CT contrast)
- Signature of Referrer (this may be physical or in terms of an electronically validated request)
- Name of Referrer
- Date of Referral
- Name of Consultant
- Hospital / Ward / Department / GP Surgery
- Research Trial (should be clearly identified)

Please be patient if PET Centre staff contact you to ask for more information. Any referral not providing appropriate information as above will not be progressed and the department will contact the Referrer accordingly.

Radiation Protection of Other People

PET/CT investigations are different from other radiological investigations because the patients themselves become radioactive and may therefore pose a radiation risk to others. Please pay particular attention to any instruction sent back with the patient,

regarding whether urine and blood samples can be taken, bearing in mind these may both be radioactive. Occasionally, investigations or treatments cannot be carried out because of the patient's family circumstances.

Radiation Dose to the Patient, Pregnancy and Breastfeeding

The list of investigations following contains information about the radiation dose received (in mSv) by the patient from the procedure and this must be borne in mind when considering the suitability of using a PET/CT procedure. As a guide, the natural background radiation dose received by any person is about 2.3 mSv per annum. In the pregnant patient, there is also a radiation dose to the foetus; this must be strictly limited, and a Referrer should have a risk benefit discussion with the patient prior to the referral. Furthermore, many radiopharmaceuticals appear in breast milk, so the breast-fed infant would receive a radiation dose. For these reasons, the fact or possibility of pregnancy or breastfeeding must be clearly stated in the request for all individuals of childbearing potential in the age range 11-55 years old, inclusive.

Supplementary Drugs

In addition, some investigations require the administration of other, non-radioactive pharmaceuticals as an essential part of the test. These are specified in italics after the relevant referral criteria. Your request for an investigation will be taken as implying agreement to the administration of the specified supplementary drug (this includes the administration of saline). If you are unhappy about your patient being given these drugs or feel they are contraindicated, this must be clearly stated in the request.

Less Common Procedures

There may be other procedures which fall into the category of research investigations and the Practitioner should be contacted.

Please note that if a referral is made and then cancelled by the Referrer the PET Centre **MUST** be contacted (see details below) to cancel the request.

Birmingham PET Centre birminghampet@uhb.nhs.uk 0121 371 7220

Reports

Patients referred will have images on PACS and reports available on PACS, CRIS, PICS and Clinical Portal once they are finalised. External reports and images will be sent via Image Exchange Portal (IEP).

PET/CT Referral Criteria

Internal referrals will be made electronically via PICS. External PET/CT referrals should be sent on the appropriate form which can be found on the UHB PET Website.

		Effective.	
Investigation & Clinical History	Radiopharmaceutical	dose incl. CT (mSv)	Risk classification
ONCOLOGICAL IMAGING		-	
 General Staging, restaging or recurrence Assessment of end of treatment response to chemotherapy and/or radiation treatment Assessment of disease extension 	F-18 FDG	11.1- 11.3	Low
 Characterisation of abnormality in patients with other imaging is negative or equivocal or biopsy is inconclusive, or biopsy cannot be performed Characterisation of solitary pulmonary nodule (differentiation of benign versus malignant lesions where anatomical imaging or biopsy is inconclusive, or biopsy cannot be performed) Pre-operative staging of non-small cell primary lung tumours Staging of patients with small-cell lung cancer with limited disease on CT being considered for radical therapy Assessment of suspected disease recurrence to differentiate between treatment effects and recurrent cancer Note: For lung pathology, referrers are required to state on the request the size and location of the lesion within the lungs. If lesion is below 8mm then the request must be sent to an IRMER Practitioner License Holder for approval. For external hospitals the latest CT report must also be provided 	F-18 FDG	11.1	Low
 Pleural malignancy To guide biopsy in patients with 			
 suspected pleural malignancy with pleural thickening To exclude extra-thoracic disease in proven mesothelioma in patients considered for multimodality treatment including radical surgery/decortication Response assessment to therapy where there is uncertainty on conventional imaging 	F-18 FDG	11.1	Low

Thymic tumours			
 Staging of patients considered for 			
surgical resection			
Assessment of indeterminate thymic			
lesions if being considered for radical	F-18 FDG	11.1	Low
treatment			
Response assessment to therapy where			
there is uncertainty on conventional			
imaging			
Oesophageal and Oesophago-gastric			
carcinoma			
 Staging or restaging patients with 			
oesophageal or oesophago-gastric			
carcinoma, suitable for radical treatment,			
including patients who have received			
neo-adjuvant treatment	F-18 FDG	11.1	Low
Evaluation of suspected recurrence of			
oesophago-gastric tumours when other			
imaging is negative or equivocal			
 For radiotherapy planning/volume 			
delineation of oesophageal and			
oesophago-gastric junction cancers			
Breast carcinoma			
 Assessment of multi-focal disease or 			
suspected recurrence in patients with			
breast carcinoma			
 Differentiation of treatment-induced 			
brachial plexopathy from tumour		11 1	
infiltration in symptomatic patients with an	1-101 DG	11.1	LOW
equivocal or normal MRI			
 Assessment of response to 			
chemotherapy in patients whose disease			
is not well demonstrated using other			
techniques			
Hepato-pancreaticobiliary cancers			
 Staging of patients with potentially 			
operable hepato-pancreaticobiliary (e.g.			
cholangiocarcinoma, gallbladder or			
hepatocellular carcinoma) cancers where			
cross-sectional imaging is equivocal for			
metastatic disease and a positive			
PET/CT would lead to a decision not to	F-18 FDG	11.1	Low
operate			
Differentiate carcinoma from chronic			
pancreatitis			
Identification of poor prognosis			
hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC)			
Predicting probability of early recurrence			
after liver transplantation for HCC			
Colorectal carcinoma	F-18 FDG	11.1	Low

•	Staging of patients with synchronous metastases at presentation suitable for resection or patients with equivocal findings on other imaging (pulmonary or liver lesions) Detection of recurrence in patients with rising tumour markers and/or clinical suspicion of recurrence with normal or equivocal findings on other imaging Evaluation of indeterminate pre-sacral masses post-treatment PET/CT follow up after liver metastasis ablation Monitoring metabolic response in patients with metastatic colorectal cancer being treated with oral multikinase and immune checkpoint inhibitors			
Urolo	gical malignancy			
•	Assessment of metastatic renal or ureteric carcinoma Assessment of extra-renal or extra- ureteric carcinoma at staging in selected cases with equivocal findings on other imaging Assessment of disease recurrence within the nephrectomy bed In the setting of proven muscle invasive bladder cancer or high-risk non-muscle- invasive bladder cancer before radical treatment if there are indeterminate findings on CT or MRI, or a high-risk of metastatic disease	F-18 FDG	11.1	Low
Gyna	ecological malignancy (vulvar, uterine,			
cervi:	x or endometrium carcinoma) Detection of tumour in selected patients with ovarian carcinoma who have rising CA125 levels and equivocal or negative imaging	F-18 FDG	11.1	Low
Testi	cular malignancy			
•	In selected cases of primary staging of testicular germ cell tumours with equivocal findings on conventional work- up Assessment of recurrent disease in patients with metastatic seminoma or teratoma with elevated or rising tumour markers and equivocal or normal anatomical imaging Evaluation of residual masses for patients with seminoma and teratoma	F-18 FDG	11.1	Low

Anal and penile carcinoma			
 For staging in patients with T2-T4 anal tumours suitable for radical treatment 	F-18 FDG	11.1	Low
Staging of high-risk penile carcinoma			
Paraneoplastic Syndrome			
To detect an occult primary tumour in			
patients with non-metastatic	F-18 FDG	11 1	Low
manifestations of neoplastic disease	1 101 20		Low
when other imaging is negative or			
equivocal			
Carcinoma – unknown origin			
 Detection of the primary site when imaging and historiathology bays failed to 			
show a primary site, where the site of	F-18 FDG	11.1	Low
tumour will influence the choice of			
treatment			
Lymphoma			
Interim response assessment of patients			
after two cycles of chemotherapy if there			
is clinical suspicious of progression of			
NHL			
End of treatment remission assessment			
of HD and aggressive NHL. Not required			
for patients with CMR on interim scans.			
Evaluation of suspected relapse in	F-18 FDG	11.1	Low
Symptomatic patients			
Assessment of response to second line treatment and subsequent treatments			
Staging and restaging of suspected post			
transplant lympho-proliferative disorder			
(PTLD)			
 Prior to bone marrow transplant to assess 			
volume of disease and suitability for			
transplant			
Myeloma			
 Characterisation of equivocal CT 			
abnormality			
 Distinguish between smouldering and 			
active myeloma			
Assessment of patients with apparently		44.0	1
solitary plasmacytoma or patients with	F-18 FDG	11.3	LOW
ambiguous lytic lesions on skeletal			
 Survey Suspected relanse in patients with non- 			
secretory myeloma or predominantly			
extramedullary disease			
To investigate elevated tumour markers			
Skin tumours			
Characterisation of equivocal CT	F-18 FDG	11.3	Low
abnormality			

•	To assess for distant disease in patients with melanoma when radical dissection is contemplated Work-up of locally advanced (unresectable) and metastatic Merkel cell carcinoma Assess response to isolated limb infusion			
•	Exclude systemic involvement in skin lymphomas and exclude large cell transformation in mycosis Fungoides			
•	Exclude primary malignancy where dermatomyositis suspected to represent a paraneoplastic manifestation			
•	indicated for early-stage patients who			
	should undergo sentinel node biopsy			
Musc	uloskeletal			
•	Characterisation of equivocal CT			
	abnormality			
•	Staging of high-grade sarcomas, unless			
	aiready proven to have metastatic			
	rhabdomyosarcoma leiomyosarcoma			
	osteosarcoma, malignant fibrous			
	histiocytoma, synovial sarcoma and			
	myxoid liposarcoma)			
•	Pre-amputation in the setting of a high-			
	grade sarcoma where the detection of		11 0	Low
	distant disease will alter the surgical	F-10 FDG	11.5	LOW
	management			
•	Stage patients with metastatic sarcoma			
	considered for liver or lung			
	metastasectomy where anatomical			
	imaging has not identified any extra-			
	thoracic or extra-nepatic disease which			
	Accessment of evenested melignent			
•	Assessment of suspected malignant			
	neurofibromas in patients with			
	neurofibromatosis type 1			
Head	& neck tumours (ear. nose, throat)			
•	Staging of patients where staging is			
	difficult clinically; for example, patients			
	with trismus or where there is uncertainty			
	on other imaging or equivocal findings	F-18 FDG	11.1	Low
	that would preclude radical treatment			
•	Identify unknown primary site in patients			
	presenting with metastatic squamous cell			
	carcinoma in cervical lymph nodes			

-			1	
•	Response assessment 4 months' post			
	chemo-radiotherapy			
•	Differentiate relapse from treatment			
	effects in patients suspected to have			
	tumour recurrence			
•	Identify tumour recurrence in the post-			
	operative, post-chemotherapy or post-			
T 1	radiotherapy			
Inyro	old carcinoma			
•	Assessment of patients with elevated			
	thyroglobulin levels and negative lodine			
	scintigraphy with suspected recurrent			
	disease			
•	to evaluate disease in treated meduliary			
	inyroid carcinoma (3-6 months post		11 1	Low
_	Manitar response to turnesing kinges	F-10 FDG	11.1	LOW
•	inhibitor (TKI) therepy in petiente with			
	EDC avid and non jodine avid disease			
	Evaluation of apaplastic thyroid capaor in			
•	highly selected cases based on a			
	multidisciplinary decision where impact			
	on clinical management is expected			
Prost	tate carcinoma			
•	Biochemical relapse in patients post			
-	radical radiotherapy (recommended if			
	PSA equal to/greater than 2 ng/ml +			
	nadir)			
•	Biochemical relapse in patients post			
	radical prostatectomy (recommended if			
	PSA equal to/greater than 0.2 ng/ml)			
•	Biochemical relapse in patients post			
	radical radiotherapy and post			
	prostatectomy where PSA less than 0.2		20.5/	Moderate
	ng/ml but they have a doubling time < 6	Ga-68 PSMA	18.2	
	months and considering salvage therapy		10.2	/2011
	i.e. SABR			
•	Primary staging in high-risk prostate			
	cancer i.e. equivocal lesions on baseline			
	conventional imaging, where			
	management will be influenced by PSMA			
	result. Also, in cases where there is a			
	discordant biopsy result or			
	contraindication to biopsy			
•	Patients being considered for 177-			
Nour				
neur	Staging and roots ing nours and aris		17.0	Low
•	Staging and restaging neuroendocrine	Ga-00 DOTA	17.3	LOW
1	tumours for treatment planning,	1		

	assessment of secondary pathology or de-differentiation			
•	This can include well-differentiated, or			
	paragangliomas and adrenal cortical			
	carcinomas			
•	Identify patients who are unlikely to			
	respond to ¹⁷⁷ Lu-Dotatate therapy (i.e.			
	discordant lesions that are somatostatin			
	receptor negative and FDG Positive)			
•	Risk stratification of well-differentiated			
	NETs for treatment planning			
NON-				
Drain	Localisation of anilontogonic facus in			
•	enilensy in adults			
	Pre-surgical assessment of medical			
_	refractory epilepsy	F-18 FDG	5.1	Low
•	Evaluation of memory loss/neurological			
	signs suggestive of dementia or to			
	differentiate types of dementia in selected			
	patients			
Parat	hyroid			
•	Paratnyroid adenoma localisation prior to			
	first line imaging (ultrasonography			
	sestamibi SPECT-CT 4D-CT) cannot be			
	confidently determined	F-18 Choline	13.0	Low
•	Persistent post-surgery/recurrent primary			
	hyperparathyroidism when conventional			
	imaging cannot confidently determine			
	location			
•	Staging of Parathyroid Carcinoma			
Vasci		F-18 FDG	11.1-	Low
•			11.3	
Sarco	Didosis Cardiaa aaraaidaaia		11.1-	Low
•	Systemic sarcoidosis	F-10 FDG	11.3	LOW
Infect	tion/nyrexia of unknown origin			
•	Infection/pyrexia of unknown origin where		11.1-	
_	conventional imaging has failed to identify	F-18 FDG	11.3	Low
	a site			
SODI	UM FLUORIDE (NaF) BONE IMAGING			
Bone	diseases			
•	Assessment of benign and malignant			
	bone diseases in selected patients	F-18 NaF	21.3	Moderate
•	Note: NaF PEI/CT imaging is only			
	performed for patients under the			

Preffir research trial at University		
Hospitals Birmingham		